Solving stochastic, fractional PDEs with MFEM with applications to random field generation and topology optimization MFEM community workshop 2022

Tobias Duswald (CERN/TUM), Brendan Keith (Brown), Boyan S. Lazarov (LLNL), Socratis Petrides (LLNL), Barbara Wohlmuth (TUM)



Random fields





Khristenko, U., Constantinescu, A., Tallec, P. le, & Wohlmuth, B. (2021). Statistically equivalent surrogate material models and the impact of random imperfections on elasto-plastic response.



Lindgren, F., Bolin, D., & Rue, H. (2022). The SPDE approach for Gaussian and non-Gaussian fields: 10 years and still running. Spatial Statistics, 50, 100599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2022.100599

Bertozzi-Villa et. al (2021). Maps and metrics of insecticide-treated net access, use, and nets-per-capita in Africa from 2000-2020. Nature Communications, 12(1), 3589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23707-7



Bakka, H., Rue, H., Fuglstad, G., Riebler, A., Bolin, D., Illian, J., Krainski, E., Simpson, D., & Lindgren, F. (2018). Spatial modeling with R-INLA: A review. *WIREs Computational Statistics*, *10*(6). https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.1443







Agenda 25th October, 2022

- (I) Random fields
- (II) Fractional PDEs and how to treat them
- (III) Stochastic PDEs and how to treat white noise with FE / MFEM
- (IV) The SPDE method for random field generation
- (V) Application: topology optimization under uncertainty

What is the fractional Laplacian? Fractional PDEs



Lischke, A., Pang, G., Gulian, M., Song, F., Glusa, C., Zheng, X., Mao, Z., Cai, W., Meerschaert, M. M., Ainsworth, M., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2020). What is the fractional Laplacian? A comparative review with new results. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 404, 109009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2019.109009

Intuition 1.5 u = 1u(x)0.5 -0.5 0.5 0 Solution for different fractional exponents. Blue: $\alpha = 0$ $\alpha \in \{0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5\}$ Green (top to bottom): $\alpha = 2$ Red:



The fractional Laplacian with MFEM examples/ex33p



make ex33p && mpirun –np 4 ex33p –m ./data/l–shape.mesh –alpha <your–alpha/2.0> –o 3 –r 5

Rational approximation .. via the AAA algorithm

$$-\Delta^{\alpha} u = b \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u = -\Delta$$
$$x^{-\alpha} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{c_k}{(x - p_k)} \qquad \Leftrightarrow (-\Delta)^{-\alpha} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k (x - p_k)$$
$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_k \qquad \text{with} \qquad ((-\Delta) - p_k)$$



- Apply a *rational approximation* to the inverse of the operator
- Equivalence holds due to central results of the spectral theory for normal operators
- Ultimately, we solve *N* independent *reactiodiffusion equations* and sum them up

The fractional Laplacian with MFEM examples/ex33p



make ex33p && mpirun —np 4 ex33p —m ../data/l—shape.mesh —alpha 0.1 —o 3 —r 5

What are stochastic PDEs? Some examples

I. Stochastic Coefficients

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot D(\omega) \nabla\right) u = f$$

II. Stochastic Load

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot D\nabla\right) u = W(\omega)$$



White noise in MFEM WhiteNoiseIntegrator

SIAM/ASA J. UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 1630–1655

(c) 2018 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics and American Statistical Association

Efficient White Noise Sampling and Coupling for Multilevel Monte Carlo with Nonnested Meshes*

M. Croci[†], M. B. Giles[†], M. E. Rognes[‡], and P. E. Farrell[†]

Abstract. When solving stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) driven by additive spatial white noise, the efficient sampling of white noise realizations can be challenging. Here, we present a new sampling technique that can be used to efficiently compute white noise samples in a finite element method (FEM) and multilevel Monte Carlo (MLMC) setting. The key idea is to exploit the finite element matrix assembly procedure and factorize each local mass matrix independently, hence avoiding the factorization of a large matrix. Moreover, in an MLMC framework, the white noise samples must be coupled between subsequent levels. We show how our technique can be used to enforce this coupling even in the case of nonnested mesh hierarchies. We demonstrate the efficacy of our method with numerical experiments. We observe optimal convergence rates for the finite element solution of the elliptic SPDEs of interest in 2D and 3D and we show convergence of the sampled field covariances. In an MLMC setting, a good coupling is enforced and the telescoping sum is respected.

Key words. multilevel Monte Carlo, white noise, nonnested meshes, Matérn Gaussian fields, finite elements, partial differential equations with random coefficients

AMS subject classifications. 65C05, 60G60, 65N30, 60H35, 35R60

DOI. 10.1137/18M1175239

1. Introduction. Gaussian fields are ubiquitous in uncertainty quantification to model the uncertainty in spatially dependent parameters. Common applications are in geology, oil reservoir modeling, biology, and meteorology [6, 23, 27, 33]. Here, let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open spatial domain of interest whose closure is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the task of sampling from a zero-mean Gaussian field u of Matérn covariance C,

(1.1)
$$\mathcal{C}(x,y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)} (\kappa r)^{\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\nu}(\kappa r), \quad r = \|x-y\|_2, \quad \kappa = \frac{\sqrt{8\nu}}{\lambda}, \quad x, y \in D,$$

where σ^2 , ν , $\lambda > 0$ are the variance, smoothness parameter, and correlation length of the field, respectively, and \mathcal{K}_{ν} is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

In practice, samples of u are needed only at discrete locations $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in D$, and a simple sampling strategy consists of drawing realizations of a Gaussian vector $\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C)$

http://www.siam.org/journals/juq/6-4/M117523.html

[†]Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK (matteo.croci@maths.ox.ac.uk, patrick.farrell@ maths.ox.ac.uk, mike.giles@maths.ox.ac.uk).

[‡]Department for Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing, Simula Research Laboratory, 1325 Lysaker, Norway (meg@simula.no).

Croci, M., Giles, M. B., Rognes, M. E., & Farrell, P. E. (2018). Efficient White Noise Sampling and Coupling for Multilevel Monte Carlo with Nonnested Meshes. SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification, 6(4), 1630–1655. https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1175239



ParLinearForm b(&fespace); auto *WhiteNoise = new WhiteGaussianNoiseDomainLFIntegrator(seed); b.AddDomainIntegrator(WhiteNoise); b.Assemble();

| Merged tzanio merged 30 commits into master from spatial_gaussian_white_noise [] on Apr 18 | |
|---|--|
| □ □ <th□< th=""> <th□< th=""> <th□< th=""></th□<></th□<></th□<> | |
| | brendankeith commented on Mar 3 • edited by tzanio 🚽 Member 😳 ••• |
| | This PR provides a new LinearFormIntegrator for spatial white Gaussian noise. |
| | The target problem is the linear SPDE $a(u,v) = F(v)$ with $F(v) := \langle \dot{w}, v \rangle$, where \dot{w} is spatial white Gaussian noise. When the Galerkin method is used to discretize this problem into a linear system of equations $Ax = b$, the RHS is a Gaussian random vector $b \sim N(0,M)$ whose covariance matrix is the same as the mass matrix $M_{ij} = (v_{i}, v_{j})$. This property can be ensured if $b = H w$, where $HH^{T} = M$ and each component $w_{i} \sim N(0,1)$. |



^{*}Received by the editors March 12, 2018; accepted for publication (in revised form) September 9, 2018; published electronically November 20, 2018.

Funding: This research was supported by EPSRC grant EP/K030930/1, by the EPSRC Centre For Doctoral Training in Industrially Focused Mathematical Modelling (EP/L015803/1) in collaboration with Simula Research Laboratory, and by the Nordic Council of Ministers through Nordforsk grant 74756 (AUQ-PDE).

The SPDE method Generating Gaussian random fields with Matérn Covariance

Whittle, P. (1954). On Stationary Processes in the Plane. *Biometrika*, 41(3/4), 434. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2332724</u> Whittle, P. (1963). Stochastic processes in several dimensions. Bull. Inst. Internat. Statist., 40, 974–994 Lindgren, F., Rue, H., & Lindström, J. (2011). An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 73(4), 423–498. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00777.x

 $\left(-\frac{1}{2u}\nabla\cdot\left(\Theta\nabla\right)+1\right)^{\frac{2\nu+u}{4}}u(\vec{x},\omega)=\eta W(\vec{x},\omega)$

The SPDE method Generating Gaussian random fields with Matérn Covariance

Equation
$$\left(-\frac{1}{2\nu}\nabla\cdot\left(\Theta\,\nabla\right)+1\right)^{\frac{2\nu+d}{4}}u(\vec{x},\omega) = \eta W(\vec{x},\omega)$$
Normalization
$$\eta = \left(\frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}\sqrt{\det(\Theta)}\Gamma(\nu+\frac{d}{2})}{\nu^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\nu)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
Domain: arbitrary
Boundaries: arbitrary



- The solution to the PDE is a Gaussian random field with Matérn covariance and zero mean
- The parameter ν determines the smoothness of the field.
- The parameter Θ determines the spatial structure of the random field.



The SPDE method with MFEM miniapps/materials



make && mpirun —np 4 main —o 1 —r 3 —rp 6 —nu <your—nu> —l1 0.05 —l2 0.05 —no—rs

The SPDE method with MFEM miniapps/materials



make && mpirun –np 4 main –o 1 –r 3 –rp 6 –nu 4.0 –l1 <your–l1> –l2 <your–l2> –no–rs

 $\Theta = \frac{1}{2\nu} \begin{pmatrix} (l_1)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (l_2)^2 \end{pmatrix}$



What is topology optimization?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & \min_{\rho \in L^2(\Omega)} & \int_{\Omega} uf \, dx \\ \mbox{subject to} & -\operatorname{div} r(\tilde{\rho}) \, \nabla u = f \\ & -e^2 \Delta \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\rho} = \rho \\ & \int_{\Omega} \rho(x) dx \leq V \\ & 0 \leq \rho \leq 1 \\ & r(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho_{\min} + \tilde{\rho}^3 (1 - \rho_{\min}) \end{array}$$





Examples









Topology optimization under uncertainty







Lazarov, B. S., Wang, F., & Sigmund, O. (2016). Length scale and manufacturability in density-based topology optimization. Archive of Applied Mechanics, 86(1-2), 189-218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00419-015-1106-4

The end / Q&A