

// blastFEM: a GPU-accelerated, very high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

# // MFEM COMMUNITY WORKSHOP



Jeff Heylmun, PhD Ben Shields, PhD Barlev Raymond Tim Brewer Peter Vonk

- // heylmun@synthetik-technologies.com
- // shields@synthetik-technologies.com
- // raymond@synthetik-technologies.com
- // brewer@synthetik-technologies.com
  - // vonk@synthetik-technologies.com



#### Technology Lab Seattle, WA 25 B B HQ Austin, TX B San Antonio, TX

#### // MODELING & SIMULATION

// RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

#### // ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY

// Uniquely skilled and experienced in the conduct of R&D programs across multiple diverse technology areas. We are currently working with several US government agencies and commercial clients. // Synthetik provides engineering consultancy services related to shock and impact - working with commercial and federal clients on global landmark infrastructure projects.

Ο

// Developing world-class first-principles-based modeling and simulation (M&S) codes with a focus on highly compressible reactive flows.

# // Synthetik Modeling & Simulation Team





#### Jeff Heylmun, PhD Principal Investigator

PhD, Mechanical Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA



#### **Barlev Raymond** blastFEM Developer

PhD Candidate, Mechanical Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA



Ben Shields, PhD blastFEM Developer

PhD, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL



Makrand Khanwale, PhD blastFEM Developer\*

PhD , Mechanical Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA



**Tim Brewer** Project Manager

Professionally Licensed Engineer and Practitioner



#### **Peter Vonk** SME

Developer of CFD codes and CADintegrated tools for simulation driven design



- GPU support
- Scalability



# BRIEFING FORMAT 6. Load balancing

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

### **Part I: Solver Development**

- Starting with MFEM
- Addition of a two-phase system of equations
- Relevant equations-of-state (EOS)
- Integration of MFEM adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) 4.
- 5. Leveraging MFEM load balancing
- Improved time stepping
- **Development of limiters** 8.
- 9. Leveraging artificial viscosity
- 10. Generalize case creation for arbitrary geometries
- **II.** Artificial Viscosity
- 12. Preprocessing utilities



## Part II: Initial Phase I Results

- Single Phase
- Validations
- Two-Phase



MFEM Example 18 used as the reference implementation

Subset a compressible Euler system of equations

Transient non-linear hyperbolic PDE

Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) formulation

System of equations:

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

STARTING

WITH MFEM

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$$

 $\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \mathbf{u} \\ \rho E \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \boldsymbol{u} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + p \mathbf{I} \\ (\rho E + p) \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix}$$



https://mfem.org/examples/

## // ADDITION OF A TWO-PHASE SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

Volume fraction,  $\alpha$ , phase tracking function

The alpha equation can be written as the material derivative:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0$$

This is implemented into blastFEM using MFEM's ConvectionIntegrator and NonconservatriveDGTraceIntegrator.

The total density  $\rho$ ,

$$\rho = \alpha \rho_1 + (1 - \alpha) \rho_2$$

For the two-phase Euler compressible the momentum and energy source terms are 0.

Pressure solved through the equation of state

Synthetik

System of equations:  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S} \qquad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha \rho_1 \\ (1 - \alpha) \rho_2 \\ \rho \mathbf{u} \\ \rho E \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \boldsymbol{u} \\ \alpha \rho_1 \boldsymbol{u} \\ (1 - \alpha) \rho_2 \boldsymbol{u} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + p \mathbf{I} \\ (\rho E + p) \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\mathbf{M}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{E}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Zheng HW, Shu C, Chew YT, Qin N.A solution adaptive simulation of compressible multi-fluid flows with general equation of state. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*. 2011;67(5):616-637. doi:10.1002/fld.2380



The user can select from a collection of EOSs for each phase:

Pressure is computed from the equation of state

p

Surrently implemented EOS:

Ideal gas

$$\rho = (\gamma - 1)\rho e$$

Stiffened gas

$$= (\gamma - 1)\rho e - \gamma a$$

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

🛞 Van der Waals

/aals 
$$p = \frac{\gamma - 1}{1 - b\rho} (\rho e + a\rho^2) - (a\rho^2 + c)$$



Zheng HW, Shu C, Chew YT, Qin N.A solution adaptive simulation of compressible multi-fluid flows with general equation of state. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*. 2011;67(5):616-637. doi:10.1002/fld.2380

## // INTEGRATION OF MFEM ADAPTIVE MESH REFINEMENT (AMR)



Integrated MFEM's AMR capability into blastFEM

Sefinement and relaxation for moving shocks

Error estimator based on gradient of field of interest: density or pressure

Initial higher pressure concentrated at the center





## // LEVERAGING MFEM LOAD BALANCING

Run Tims (s)



- Uses the load balancing available in MFEM for nonconforming meshes
- Somputational execution time comparison:
  - I. No AMR with max refinement everywhere
  - 2. AMR without load balancing
  - 3. AMR with load balancing
- Max refinement used to resolve the shock front
- Simulation end time: 0.5s
- Parallel simulation on 12 CPUs
- First order basis functions





**STEPPING** 

Previous implementation based on Cockburn and Shu

Computed from the ratio of smallest element size, h to largest velocity magnitude, u in the entire domain:

 $\Delta t = CFL \times \frac{\min(h)}{\max(u)} \frac{1}{2k+1}$ 

New implementation computes the ratio of element size and velocity for each element and the time step is computed as:

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

**IMPROVED TIME** 

$$\Delta t = CFL \times \min\left(\frac{h_e}{u_e}\right) \frac{1}{2k+1}$$

Avoids over constraining the time step size.



Cockburn B, Shu CW. Runge–Kutta Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Convection-Dominated Problems. *Journal of Scientific Computing*. 2001;16(3):173-261. doi:10.1023/A:1012873910884



Scan lead to unphysical oscillations near regions of large gradients in

hyperbolic problems

DEVELOPMENT OF <sup>© Nun</sup> LIMITERS

Sumerical instabilities

Solution Set Negative density and pressure

Shock capturing limiters are used to mitigate this unphysical behavior

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

S Limiters based on Moe et al were implemented in blastFEM

The extent of limiting can be set by the user.



Moe SA, Rossmanith JA, Seal DC. A Simple and Effective High-Order Shock-Capturing Limiter for Discontinuous Galerkin Methods. Published online July 10, 2015. <u>doi:10.48550/arXiv.1507.03024</u>

# // LEVERAGING ARTIFICIAL VISCOSITY

- Artificial diffusion can help improve the stability of the shock on the interior of higher order elements.
- Implemented a discontinuity sensor which projects the field to lower order (p-1) FE system, and measures the difference between the original and projected field
- The difference indicated the regions of strong gradients/ discontinuities.
- Based on the magnitude of the difference, diffusivity is added to the elements
- The threshold at which diffusion is added can be controlled with an additional free parameter  $\kappa$
- NACA airfoil at Mach 0.8, 1.5 ° angle of attack
- Fourth order basis functions

Persson PO, Peraire J. Sub-Cell Shock Capturing for Discontinuous Galerkin Methods. In: 44th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit. Aerospace Sciences Meetings. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2006. doi:10.2514/6.2006-112

Comparison between blastFEM (left) and literature (right) for the NACA0012 airfoil at Mach 0.8.

Top images show the presence of artificial diffusion, where gray elements contain no added diffusion. The bottom images show the corresponding pressure field.





## // GENERALIZED CASE CREATION FOR ARBITRARY GEOMETRIES

Synthetik applied technologies

CAD integration as a step towards using MFEM for general industry/industrial problems

Sverified our Sketchup MeshKit plugin for compatibility with Sketchup 2022 and the latest version of GMSH

Set named boundaries and meshing options from within SketchUp

Sexport .geo files and generate mesh with GMSH (GUI, CLI)

Import case and run with MFEM







## // PREPROCESSING UTILITIES

A configuration file is used to set parameters for running simulations:

- Time controls
- Mesh: Ð
  - Mesh file read and boundary ۲ conditions prescribed
  - Quadrature rule ۲
  - **Refinement** levels Ð
  - AMR setting ۲
- **ODE** solver options ٢
- Flux schemes
- Limiter control B
- Phases Ð
- Thermos
- Initial conditions

```
// Time controls
controls {
    endTime 0.25;
    deltaT 1e-4;
   visualization paraview; // "visit", "glvis"
   writeInterval 0.01;
   maxCo 0.5;
```

// Mesh information mesh { basisFunctionOrder 2; nRefine 4; meshFile "../data/inline-guad.mesh";

boundary (bottom { type noPenetration;

```
top
    type extrapolated;
```

```
left {
    type extrapolated;
```

right { type noPenetration;

```
);
useAMR yes;
```

}

}

AMR { ncLimit 1; estimator delta; fieldName p; unrefineLevel 0.1; refineLevel 0.1; maxLevel 5

// Schemes fluxScheme HLLC;



odeSolver RK2SSP; alphaLimiter 0; kappa 3; caseType custom;

```
// Phases
phases (gas1 gas2);
```

```
qas1 {
    thermoType {
        equationOfState idealGas;
    equationOfState {
        gamma 1.4;
qas2 {
    thermoType {
        equationOfState stiffenedGas;
    equationOfState {
        gamma 1.6;
        a 0.0;
```

```
//Initial Conditions
defaultFieldValues (
    scalar alpha.gas1 1.0
    scalar rho.gas1 1.0
    scalar rho.gas2 2.0
    scalar p 1
    //vector U (0.0 0.0 0.0)
);
initialConditions (
    sphere
        centre (0.5 0.5 0.5);
         radius 0.1;
        fieldValues
         scalar alpha.gas1 0.0
        scalar rho.gas2 3.0
         scalar p 20.0);
);
```

## // RESULTS: SINGLE PHASE





- External flow
- Second order basis functions
- AMR with load balancing

- Fourth order basis functions
- Shock resolution across coarse discretization

# // RESULTS: SINGLE PHASE VALIDATION



- NACA 0012 Airfoil geometry
- Transonic flow: Mach 0.8
- I.25° Angle of Attack
- Comparison of the Coefficient of pressure across the surface of the airfoil



Sod Shock Tube: BlastFEM vs exact solution for density, pressure, and velocity.



1.5 Тор Bottom ဝို Coefficent of Pressure, 0<sup>a</sup> Ω -0.5• AGARD 1985 ----- LF -1 HLL - - Roe -1.5-1.5 0.2 0.6 0.20.40.60.8 0.4 0.8 Ω 1 0 Length, x/xc x/cBlastFEM Literature

Vila-Pérez, Jordi & Giacomini, Matteo & Sevilla, Ruben & Huerta, Antonio. (2019). An HLL Riemann solver for the hybridised discontinuous Galerkin formulation of compressible flows. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.00044</u>

Sod, Gary A. "A Survey of Several Finite Difference Methods for Systems of Nonlinear Hyperbolic Conservation Laws." Journal of Computational Physics 27, no. 1 (April I, 1978): 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(78)90023-2.

## // RESULTS: TWO PHASE





Both phases use ideal gas EOS
SAMR with load balancing

Density ratio of 2:1

Pressure ratio 20:1



# QUESTIONS / DISCUSSION

/ A high-performance and energy-efficient solver for highly compressible flows

